

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR TRAFFIC COMPLETE UNIFORM (15,000-PAIRS FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	S	NAME OF FIRMS						
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Nishat Mills	MS Work Links	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS Chiragh Din & Sons			
Hard Specification	10							
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	0	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10							
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	0	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	0	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	0	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10							
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10							
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	2	10			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60	0	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	85	100	32	100			
REMARKS (IF ANY)		A7	A5	Sample not provided	A4			

Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: Alite Force Peshawar

(Member)

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR ANKLE BOOTS (5,000-PAIRS FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)



The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION DADAMETERS	KS KS	NAME OF FIRMS					
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Baraka Logistics	MS Askari Shoe Project	MS Firhaj Foot Wear			
Hard Specification	10						
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	0	5			
Support Capabilities	10						
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	0			
List of support Team	2	2	2	0			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	0			
Financial Capabilities	10						
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	.3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10						
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	10			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	22	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	57	90			
REMARKS (IF ANY)			2				

SP (HQrs) CP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elite Force Peshawar (Member)

shawar DIG (Admin) Invest

DIG (Admin) Investigation, CPO Peshawar

(Chairman)



The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	KS	NAME OF FIRMS								
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Work Links	MS Askari Woolen Mills	MS S&S Corp.	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.			
Hard Specification	10			•						
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10									
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10									
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10									
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	7	10	10	3			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	0	0	60	0	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	40	37	100	40	93			
REMARKS (IF ANY)		A11	Sample rejected	Sample not provided	A12	Sample not provided	A13			

SP (HOrs) (CP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member) Dy: Comdt: Clite Force Peshawar (Member)



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR YELLOW WINTER JACKET (5,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	KS.	NAME OF FIRMS								
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Work Links	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.	MS Haroon & Brothers			
Hard Specification	10									
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10									
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10									
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10									
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	0	10	3	10			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60	0	0	60	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	100	30	40	93	100			
REMARKS (IF ANY)		A14	A16	Sample rejected	Sample not provided	A17	A15			

SP (HOR) CCP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member) Dy: Comdt: Elite Porec Peshawar (Member)



### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR SOCKS (15,000-PAIRS FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)



The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	70	NAME OF FIRMS									
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Askari Woolen Mills	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.				
Hard Specification	10		•		•		•				
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
Support Capabilities	10										
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6				
List of support Team	2	0	2	2	2	2	2				
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
Financial Capabilities	10										
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	0	3	3	3				
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3				
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
Relevant Experience	10										
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	3	0	6	10				
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	0	0	0	60	0	0				
TOTAL MARKS	100	38	40	30	90	36	40				
REMARKS (IF ANY)		Sample rejected	Sample rejected	Sample rejected	Λ.	Sample rejected	Sample rejected				

SP (HQrs) CCP Peshawar (Member) Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar

(Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elite Force Peshawar

(Member)

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR SUMMER JACKET (15,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	KS	NAME OF FIRMS								
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Work Links	MS S&S Corp.	MS Haroon & Brothers	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.		
Hard Specification	10									
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10									
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10									
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10									
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	6	10	10	3	10	3		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	0	0	0	60 🗸	60 🗸	0	0		
TOTAL MARKS	100	40	36	40	100	93	40	33		
REMARKS (IF ANY)		Sample rejected	Sample not provided	Sample rejected	A20	A21	Sample rejected	Sample rejected		

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdit Lite Force



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR RAIN COATS (5,000-FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

	IKS				NAME OF	FIRMS		
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Work Links	MS SS Corporation	MS Awan Traders	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.
Hard Specification	10							
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5.	5	5	5
Support Capabilities	10							
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Financial Capabilities	10							
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Relevant Experience	10							
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	7	10	10	4	0	4
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	0	0	60	60	60	0	0
TOTAL MARKS	100	40	37	100	100	94	30	34
REMARKS (IF ANY)		Sample not provided	Sample not provided	A22	A23	A24	Sample rejected	Sample rejected

Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elite Force Peshawar (Member)

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR P-CAPS (10,000-FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	NAME OF FIRMS							
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MA	MS Work Links	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders	MS Occeans Trade Enterprises	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line		
Hard Specification	10						Corp		
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10						3		
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	0	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10						2		
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	0	3	3	3		
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10								
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	2	0	0	0		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60	60	0 &	0 \$	60		
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	100	87	30	30	90		
REMARKS (IF ANY)					Sample not provided	Sample not provided			

SP (HQIS) CCP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member) Dy: Comdt. Elite Force Peshawar

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR JUNGLE CAPS (5,000-FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	NAME OF FIRMS							
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MAI	MS Work Links	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders	MS Occeans Trade Enterprises	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp		
Hard Specification	10								
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10								
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	0	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10								
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	0	3	3	3		
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10								
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	2	0	0	0		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60	60	0	0	60		
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	100	87	30	30	90		
REMARKS (IF ANY)	73.	A25	A26	A27	Sample not provided	Sample not provided	A28		

Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elite Force Poshawar (Member)



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR WHITE ANKLET (10,000-FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	NAME OF FIRMS							
EVALUATION LARAMETERS	MA	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprises	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp	MS Haroon & Brothers			
Hard Specification	10								
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10								
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10								
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10								
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	0	0	2	10			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60	0	0	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	90	30	32	100			
REMARKS (IF ANY)				Sample not provided	Sample rejected				

SP (HOrs) CP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt. Bite Force Peshawar

(Member)

DIG (Admin) Investigation, CPO Peshawar (Chairman)

214



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR POLICE PASBAN (5,000-FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

8 5	1
1	1
	!!

VRKS	NAME OF FIRMS						
W	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp				
10							
5	5	5	5				
5	5	5	5				
10							
6	6	6	6				
2	2	2	2				
2	2	2	2				
10							
3	3	3	3				
3	3	3	3				
4	4	4	4				
10							
10	10	10	2				
60	60	60	0				
5 100	100	100	32				
)			/ Sample rejected				
	W 10 5 5 10 6 2 2 10 3 3 4 10 10 60	MS Saleem Trading Corp.  10 5 5 5 5 10 6 6 2 2 2 10 3 3 3 3 4 4 10 10 10 10 60 60 60 81 100	MS Saleem Trading Corp.   MS JP Trade System   10				

CCP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt. Fite Force Peshawar (Member)



The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

		NAME OF FIRMS						
EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	MS Work Links	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.	
Hard Specification	10							
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Support Capabilities	10							
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	
Financial Capabilities	10							
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Relevant Experience	10							
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	0	2	2 ·	2	0	
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL MARKS	100	40	30	27	32	32	30	
REMARKS (IF ANY)		Samples rejected being sub-standard						

SP (BOrs) CCP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elite Force Peshawar (Member)

# Vot

## 13

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR WHITE GLOVES (10000-PAIRS FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	RKS	NAME OF FIRMS						
	MAR	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Awan Traders	MS Trade Line Corp.	MS ECO Sense	MS Askari Woollen Mills
Hard Specification	10							
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Support Capabilities	10							
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	. 2	2	0
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Financial Capabilities	10							
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3 /
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	3
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Relevant Experience	10							
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	0	10	10	0	0	10
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL MARKS	100	40	30	40	40	30	/ 27	38
REMARKS (IF ANY)		Samples rejected being sub-standard.						

SP (Member)

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member) Dy: Comdt: Bite Force Peshawar

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR PAKISTAN FLAG (5,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	NAME OF FIRMS					
	MAI	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.			
Hard Specification	10						
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10						
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10						
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10						
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	6	0			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60 🗸	0 \$	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	36	90			
REMARKS (IF ANY)			Sample not provided				

SP (HOES) CP Peshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar

(Member)

Dy: Comdt. Bite Force Peshawar (Member)



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR WARDEN POLICE STAR GOLDEN (1,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	tKS	NAME O	OF FIRMS		
	MARKS	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System		
Hard Specification	10				
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10	6			
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10				
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3		
Company history in the business	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10				
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	60		
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	100		
REMARKS (IF ANY)			^		

Reshawar

Dy: Comdt: FRF Peshawar

(Member)

Dy: Comdt: Elke Force Peshawar

(Member)

DIG (Admin) Investigation, CPO Peshawar

(Chairman)

#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR WHISTLE WITH HDORI (1,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	KS	NAME OF FIRMS						
	MARKS	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS Occeans Trade Enterprs	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders		
Hard Specification	10							
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10							
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10							
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3	3	0		
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10							
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	0	6	3	3		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60 🗸	0	0	0	60 -		
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	30	36	33	90		
REMARKS (IF ANY)			Sample rejected	Sample not provided	Sample not provided			

SP (HQrs) CCP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comit: Elite Force Peshawar

(Member)



#### TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR CCP BADGE (2,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	RKS	NAME OF FIRMS					
	MARKS	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.	MS Al-Meezan Traders		
Hard Specification	10						
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5	5		
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5	5		
Support Capabilities	10						
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6	6		
List of support Team	2	2	2	2	2		
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2	2		
Financial Capabilities	10						
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	- 3	3	0		
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3	3		
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4	4		
Relevant Experience	10						
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	0	3		
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60	0	60	0		
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	40	90	30		
REMARKS (IF ANY)			Sample not provided		Sample rejected		

SP (HQrs) CCP Peshawar

ar

Dy: Comdt: FRF Peshawar

(Member)

Dy: Comdt. Elite Force Peshawar

(Member)

DIG (Admin) Investigation, CPO Peshawar

(Chairman)

TECHNICAL EVALUATON REPORT FOR CITY TRAFFIC POLICE BADGE (2,000 FROM TRAFFIC TRAINING FUND)

The Committee evaluated the item. Different firms participated in the bid. Technical Evaluation Report is depicted as below:-

EVALUATION PARAMETERS	MARKS	NAME OF FIRMS					
	MA	MS Saleem Trading Corp.	MS JP Trade System	MS Trade Line Corp.			
Hard Specification	10						
Compliance with tender manual	5	5	5	5			
Brochures of quoted models attached	5	5	5	5			
Support Capabilities	10						
After Sale Service (Warranty)	6	6	6	6			
List of support Team	2	2	2	2			
List of Services Centers	2	2	2	2			
Financial Capabilities	10						
Annual Turn Over/Auditable Accounts of the firm	3	3	3	3			
Company history in the business	3	3	3	3			
Affidavit/undertaking that the firm never been black listed	4	4	4	4			
Relevant Experience	10						
Minimum three work orders for the supply of the quoted hardware / equipment (Preferably in government organizations)	10	10	10	0			
Standardization / Proximity of sample to required standards Demonstration / Test / Trial etc	60	60 🗸	0	60			
TOTAL MARKS	100	100	40	90			
REMARKS (IF ANY)			Sample rejected				

SP (HOrs) PCP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt: FRP Peshawar (Member)

Dy: Comdt Elite Force Peshawar